The Evolution of Massive Stars and Formation of Compact Stars: from the Cradle to the Grave
@Waseda 27/2/2020

Recent Progress of the Core-collapse Supernova Simulations under axisymmetry with the Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code

Akira Harada (ICRR, UT)
Collaborators: Shoichi Yamada, Wakana Iwakami, Hirotada Okawa (Waseda Univ.), Hiroki Nagakura (Princeton), Shun Furusawa (Tokyo Univ. of Sci.), Hideo Matsufuru (KEK), Kohsuke Sumiyoshi (Numazu)

CCSN explosion mechanism: the neutrino heating mechanism

- Explosive death of massive star
- The central proto-neutron star emits neutrinos.
- The shock is re-energized by the neutrino heating.



The Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code



The Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code



1D: fail to explode



Sumiyoshi+(2005)

The Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code



1D: fail to explode

2D: shock revives insufficient energy

The Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code



1D: fail to explode

2D: shock revives insufficient energy

3D: shock revives insufficient energy

The Boltzmann-radiation-hydrodynamics code



1D: fail to explode

2D: shock revives insufficient energy

3D: shock revives insufficient energy

This work

Our models


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Our models


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Our models


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Our models


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Our models


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Nuclear EOS


rot.
mass
1 rad/s
0 rad/s
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS
Skyrm-type
SNA
RMF
NSE

Shock evolution

- Shock evolution: only the LS model explodes



Nagakura+ 2018, AH+ in prep.

Timescale ratio

- Shock revives when the timescale ration exceeds 1:

$$\tau_{\rm adv}/\tau_{\rm heat} \text{ with } \tau_{\rm adv} = M_{\rm gain}/\dot{M},\ \tau_{\rm heat} = E_{\rm gain}/Q_{\rm gain}$$





AH+ in prep.

- All quantities are similar except for $M_{\rm gain}$

Difference in turbulence

- All quantities are similar except for $M_{\rm gain}$
- Stronger turbulence in LS model



Nagakura+(2018)

Difference in turbulence

- All quantities are similar except for $M_{\rm gain}$
- Stronger turbulence in LS model
- Larger convection growth rate (Brunt-Vaisala freq.)



Nagakura+(2018)

Difference in composition

- Nuclear composition of accretion flow is different
- More energy loss by nuclear photodissociation
- Shock is weakened rapidly and steep entropy gradient is formed
- Stronger prompt convection



AH+ in prep.

Rotation


1 rad/s
rot.
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Rotation

- Both positive and negative effects on shock revival
- Neutrino distributions are distorted
- (Thanks to the Boltzmann solver,) The accuracy of approximation is checked.
- Imposed rotation:

$$\Omega(r) = \frac{1\ \mathrm{rad/s}}{1 + (r/10^8\ \mathrm{cm})^2}$$



Shock evolution

- Postbounce evolution until ~200 ms
- The difference between rotating & non-rotating model



AH+(2019)

Neutrino ang. distribution

- Distribution functions at ~10 ms after bounce.



~60 km

~170 km

AH+(2019)

Neutrino ang. distribution

- Distribution functions at ~10 ms after bounce.





~170 km

AH+(2019)

Eddington factor

- Eddington tensor at ~10 ms after bounce
- spatial distribution of eigenvalues
- ~20% difference in M1-closure scheme



AH+(2019)

Eddington factor

- Eddington tensor at ~10 ms after bounce
- Comparison between Boltzmann- and M1-Edd. factors



- Prolateness of distribution
- M1: estimated from

deviation outward



inward

AH+(2019)

Eddington factor

- Eddington tensor at ~10 ms after bounce
- Comparison between Boltzmann- and M1-Edd. factors



- Prolateness of distribution
- M1: estimated from

deviation outward



actual deformation

inward

AH+(2019)

Eddington factor

- Eddington tensor at ~10 ms after bounce
- Comparison between Boltzmann- and M1-Edd. factors



AH+(2019)

- Prolateness of distribution
- M1: estimated from



Eddington factor

- Eddington tensor at ~10 ms after bounce
- Comparison between Boltzmann- and M1-Edd. factors
- Information which distinguish these situations may improve the approximation





AH+(2019)

PNS kick


rot.
1 rad/s
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Entropy distribution

- Entropy and absolute value of velocity



Nagakura+(2019)

Entropy distribution

- PNS moves from its initial position.



Nagakura+(2019)

Proper motion of PNS after 100 ms



Nagakura+(2019)

- PNS kick after T_b = 100 ms is observed.

PNS kick mechanism (at late phase): gravitational tug boat?



Scheck+(2006)

Gravitational tug boat mechanism:

- Anisotropic explosion

↓

- slower side is closer to the PNS and more dense

↓

- PNS is attracted to this side

PNS kick mehanism (at early phase): gravity? pressure? neutrino force?



Nagakura+(2019)

- What kind of force is important?
- Velocity originated from each force (time integrated acceleration)
- Inside 30 km: thermal pressure exceeds gravity
- Inside 400 km: neutrino force is dominant

Radiation force of neutrinos may play a role



Nagakura+(2019)

- Force applied inside 30 km (thermal pressure + gravity) reproduces the PNS kick.
- Force inside 400 km (neutrino force) also reproduces the PNS kick.
- Neutrino force may play an important role.

Progenitor


rot.
1 rad/s
mass
27 M⊙
15 M⊙
11.2 M⊙
0 rad/s
Lattimer & Swesty
Furusawa & Shen
Furusawa & Togashi
EOS

Shock evolution of different progenitor models with LS EOS





Iwakami+ in prep.

- Only 11.2 M⊙ model shows shock revival beginning with the rapid drop of mass accretion rate
- The others do not explode even when the mass accretion rates drop.

Higher neutrino heating rate for non-explosive models



Iwakami+ in prep.

- Since the mass accretion onto the PNS for non-explosive models is high, neutrino luminosities are large.
- Non-explosive models are dense inside the shock → gain mass is large.
- Neutrino heating rate is high for non-explosive models.

Higher neutrino heating rate for non-explosive models



Iwakami+ in prep.

- Since the mass accretion onto the PNS for non-explosive models is high, neutrino luminosities are large.
- Non-explosive models are dense inside the shock → gain mass is large.
- Neutrino heating rate is high for non-explosive models.

Advection timescale is long for the explosive model



Iwakami+ in prep.

- The heating timescale does not differ so much, but the advection timescale differs significantly due to the mass accretion rate
- Timescale ratio only for 11.2 M⊙ model exceeds unity.

Similar properties for FT models



Iwakami+ in prep.

- Results using the FT EOS are similar.
- Longer simulations are required to judge whether the FT models explode or not.

Future prospects: 3D simulations and general relativistic simulations



Other groups

Our work

3D-Boltzmann

→See Iwakami's poster P7!

Future prospects: 3D simulations and general relativistic simulations



Future prospects: 3D simulations and general relativistic simulations



Summary

Rotation deforms ν distributions



Composition may be important

Many models are under investigation

ν may be not negligible for PNS kick

Thank you for listening!