# Neutrinos and Nucleosynthesis from Newly Born Neutron Stars

Luke Roberts National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory Michigan State University



## Core Collapse Supernovae: Multi-Messenger Events



Energy (MeV)

<u>Nucleosynthesis</u>



### Post Bounce Evolution of CCSNe

- Hydrodynamic instabilities (such as convection and SASI) can aid energy transport and shock propagation
- Many groups seeing successful explosions in 3D
- What is the fate of the remnants left behind?



## **Stellar Progenitor Dependence**





### Final States







# Late Time Neutrino Emission

See e.g. Burrows & Lattimer '86, Pons et al. '99, Huedepohl et al. '10, Fischer et al. '10, LR '12, Nakazato '13

- Driven by cooling and deleptonization of the remnant
- Coupled neutron star structure and neutrino transport
- Sensitive to dense matter equation of state, neutrino oscillations
- Possibly cleaner problem than explosion mechanism









 $s (k_b/baryon)$ 



- Core deleptonization
- Deleptonization burst
  - Accretion phase
  - Mantle contraction
    - Core Cooling
- Neutrinosphere recession

### Detecting the Supernova Neutrino Signal



Energy (MeV)

~20 Neutrino Events Observed from SN 1987a at two detectors via the reaction

$$\overline{v}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$$

See Bionta et al. '87 and Hirata et al. '87



Super-Kamiokande Neutrino Detector

Larger, modern detectors will detect thousands of events from a nearby supernova, allowing us to *directly* probe the nature of the nascent neutron star

# Milky Way Supernova Rate

- Most recent known MW CCSN Cas A (~300 yrs)
- Look for supernovae in galaxies analogous to MW (Cappellaro et al. 1999)
- Take census of historical galactic supernovae and correct for obscuration (Tammann et al. 1994)
- Reasonably consistent

### multiply by ~2.4 to get MW rate

galaxy	rate [SNu]					
type	Ia	II+Ib/c	All			
S0a-Sb	$0.27\pm0.08$	$0.63 \pm 0.24$	$0.91 \pm 0.26$			
Sbc-Sd	$0.24 \pm 0.10$	$0.86\pm0.31$	$1.10 \pm 0.32$			
Spirals*	$0.25 \pm 0.09$	$0.76 \pm 0.27$	$1.01 \pm 0.29$			

Cappellaro et al. (1999)

### **Detection Rates**



## SN\* Neutrino Detectors

Detector	Type	Mass (kt)	Location	Events	Flavors	Status
Super-Kamiokande	$H_2O$	32	Japan	7,000	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
LVD	$C_n H_{2n}$	1	Italy	300	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
KamLAND	$C_n H_{2n}$	1	Japan	300	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
Borexino	$C_n H_{2n}$	0.3	Italy	100	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
IceCube	Long string	(600)	South Pole	$(10^6)$	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
Baksan	$C_n H_{2n}$	0.33	Russia	50	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
$MiniBooNE^*$	$C_n H_{2n}$	0.7	USA	200	$ar{ u}_e$	(Running)
HALO	Pb	0.08	Canada	30	$ u_e,  u_x$	Running
Daya Bay	$C_n H_{2n}$	0.33	China	100	$ar{ u}_e$	Running
$\mathrm{NO}  u \mathrm{A}^*$	$C_n H_{2n}$	15	USA	4,000	$ar{ u}_e$	Turning on
SNO+	$C_n H_{2n}$	0.8	Canada	300	$ar{ u}_e$	Near future
$MicroBooNE^*$	$\operatorname{Ar}$	0.17	USA	17	$ u_e$	Near future
DUNE	$\operatorname{Ar}$	34	USA	$3,\!000$	$ u_e$	Proposed
Hyper-Kamiokande	$H_2O$	560	Japan	$110,\!000$	$ar{ u}_e$	Proposed
JUNO	$C_n H_{2n}$	20	China	6000	$ar{ u}_e$	Proposed
$\operatorname{RENO-50}$	$C_n H_{2n}$	18	Korea	5400	$ar{ u}_e$	Proposed
LENA	$C_n H_{2n}$	50	Europe	$15,\!000$	$ar{ u}_e$	Proposed
PINGU	Long string	(600)	South Pole	$(10^6)$	$ar{ u}_e$	Proposed

Scholberg et al. (2015)

# Simple Prescription for Explosion in 1D

- condition
- Drawback: Abrupt end to accretion

Perform an (inverse) mass cut

 Once supernova shock passes fixed mass shell, remove all of the overlying mass and replace with a boundary

• Makes baryonic mass of remnant a free parameter, but we don't know it anyway without realistic explosion model



# **Progenitor Dependence**

### Properties of the inner core after bounce are relatively insensitive to progenitor structure

Liebendoerfer et al. 2002



From Sullivan et al. (2015)





Region of convective instability determined by the Ledoux Criterion:

$$C_L = -\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial s}\right)_{n,Y_l} \frac{ds}{dr} - \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y_l}\right)_{n,s} \frac{dY_l}{dr} > 0$$



17



Black: No Convection

Red: Convection

See also Mirizzi et al. (2015)



Pressure derivatives ar energy derivative:

$$\epsilon(n_B, Y_e) = \epsilon(n_B, 1/2) + E_{\text{sym}}(n_B)(1 - 2Y_e)^2$$



Pressure derivatives are sensitive to the symmetry

$${}^{'3}Y_e^{1/3} - 4n_B^2 E'_{\rm sym}(1 - 2Y_e)$$



### Opacity Dependence of Late Time Cooling $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$ $S_{scat}(q_{0}, 0) = 2\pi\delta(q_{0})n$ $v_{e}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$ $10^{2}$



### Impact of Nuclear Correlations on Neutrino Opacities

See Horowitz '93, Reddy et al. '99, and Burrows & Sawyer '99



# Impact of Screening



## Variations in the Interaction



Varying the axial interaction

Reddy et al. (1999) 24

### Nuclear Pasta and Coherent Scattering

- Nuclear pasta may form below saturation density
- Competition between surface effects and Coulomb interaction
- Results in large structures for neutrinos to coherently scatter from

 $\frac{d\sigma_{\rm coh}}{dq} \propto N_w^2 S(q) F_A^2(q)$ 



Horowitz, ..., LR (2016)

### Nuclear Pasta and Coherent Scattering



See also Nakazato et al. (2018) and Ken'ichiro's talk





$$T \simeq \Delta E_p$$

### Nuclear Pasta and Coherent Scattering



Roggero, Margueron, LR, Reddy (2017)

## The Neutrino Driven Wind

See Duncan et al. '86,Woosley et al. '94, Takahashi et al. '94, Thompson et al. '01, Metzger et al. '07 Arcones et al. '08, LR et al. '10, Fischer et al. '10, Vlasov '14, etc.

•After successful core collapse supernova, hot dense Protoneutron Star (PNS) is left behind

•As neutrinos leave the PNS, they deposit energy in material at the neutron stars surface

• Drives an outflow from the surface of the neutron star

• Electron fraction is determined by the neutrino interactions, some neutrons turned into protons and vice-versa

 $\bar{\nu}_e + p \to e^+ + n$  $\nu_e + n \to e^- + p$ 

•Possible site to make some interesting nuclei that are not made during normal stellar evolution: *r*process, light *p* nuclides, N = 50 closed shell nuclei Sr, Y, Zr



# What Determines the $v_{e}$ Spectra?

- "Neutrino sphere" is not well defined, energy dependent, range of densities and temperature
- Both charged and neutral current ulletreactions important to  $v_{e}$  and anti- $v_{e}$ decoupling radii
- Charged current rates introduce  $\bullet$ asymmetry between neutrinos and antineutrinos







# What Determines the $\nu_{e}$ Spectra?

- "Neutrino sphere" is not well defined, energy dependent, range of densities and temperature
- Both charged and neutral current reactions important to  $\nu_e$  and anti- $\nu_e$  decoupling radii
- Charged current rates introduce asymmetry between neutrinos and antineutrinos



### **Charged Current Interaction Rates in Medium**

### Charged current rates introduce asymmetry between neutrinos and antineutrino interactions near the neutrinosphere

Nucleons are in an interacting medium, have altered dispersion relations:

$$E_i(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + M^{*2}} + U_i$$

Transfers potential difference to outgoing leptons:

$$\Delta U = U_n - U_p \approx 40 \times \frac{(n_n - n_p)}{n_0} \text{ MeV}$$
$$E_e = E_\nu + \Delta U$$
$$1 \quad d^2\sigma \qquad G_F^2 \cos^2 \theta_c$$

 $p_e L_e$  (1

 $\overline{V} \overline{d\cos\theta dE_{e}}$ 

$$\frac{\lambda^{-1}(\Delta U)}{\lambda^{-1}(\Delta U=0)} \approx \frac{(\varepsilon_v + \Delta U)^2}{\varepsilon_v^2} \exp(\Delta U/T)$$

Horowitz & Perez-Garcia 2003, LR, Reddy & Shen 2012 U<sub>N</sub>

ino capture:

e.g. Reddy et al. 1998,

### Neutrino emission w/ and w/o Nuclear Interactions

See LR '12 and Martinez-Pinedo et al. '12

# Self energies shift average neutrino energies





### Neutrino emission w/ and w/o Nuclear Interactions







See LR '12 and Martinez-Pinedo et al. '12



 $M = 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 M_{sun}$ Roberts. '12 neutrino histories. Significant N = 50 closed neutron shell production.

Huedepohl et al. '10 neutrino histories. Very little nucleosynthesis. 7.5 M<sub>sun</sub> ejected.

# Symmetry Energy Dependence

From Roberts et al. (2012)



Model	$\Delta U$ (MeV
Lowest order virial, Eq. $(21)$	3.85
Virial $\mu_i - \mu_i^f$ , Eq. (31)	2.27
Mean field model GM3, Eq. $(36)$	0.23
Mean field model IUFSU [24]	1.11

# The Deleptonization Rate

- Nuclear symmetry energy also effects deleptonization rate of PNS (see Sumiyoshi et al. '95)
- Inclusion of mean fields decreases deleptonization rate, which also pushes towards lower electron fraction
- Larger L results in longer deleptonization timescale



### Conclusions

- PNS convection significantly impacts the neutrino cooling timescale, through the density dependence of the symmetry energy
- the tail of the neutrino signal
- neutrino sphere

produces a break in the neutrino emission, sensitive to the nuclear EoS

Neutrino opacities especially important to the late time cooling timescale

• In particular, nuclear correlations and nuclear pasta can leave a signature on

NDW nucleosynthesis is sensitive to charged current interactions near the